

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/811,183	DARST ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	William L. Miller	3677	

All Participants:

(1) William L. Miller.

(2) Gregory Howison.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 27 September 2006
Time: _____

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1-12 and 21-26

Prior art documents discussed:

Turner (US#2356957) and Blunt (US#4099353)

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

WILLIAM L. MILLER
PRIMARY EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Claims 1, 7, and 21 were amended to overcome the prior art of record and to pass the case to issue. Claims 1, 7, 12, and 21 were also amended to correct claim objections.